	T- 2	
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/514,271	SENEZ, RAYMOND
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAGDISH PATEL	3693
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	6 (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in) or other appropriate communication is selection is selection is selection in the communication in the communication is selection in the communication	this application. If not included inication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to 6/30/06.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-26</u> .		
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received	in this national stage application from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:	•	•
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal patent application (PTO-152) which give	MENT of this application. nitted. Note the attached EXA	MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF
<u> </u>		· ·
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu		(/ DTO 049) attached
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper	=	(PTO-946) attached
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date	-	in the Office action of
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or	in the Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in		
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo- attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 		
	•	
•	•	
•	•	
Attachment(s)	5 Nation of Int	Fermal Datant Application
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		formal Patent Application
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	b. ☐ Interview St Paper No./	ummary (PTO-413), Mail Date
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	7. Examiner's	Amendment/Comment
Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛭 Examiner's	Statement of Reasons for Allowance
or protogram material	9.	<u>.</u> .
		•
•	•	•

Application/Control Number: 09/514,271

Art Unit: 3693

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to appeal brief filed 6/30/2006.

Response to Appeal Brief

2. Upon further review of the pending claims determination is made that the pending claims are allowable over the prior art of record.

Reasons for Allowance

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The claimed inventions relate generally to a financial transaction system and method, and more particularly to a computerized bill payment system for use in a wire or wireless network which requires a minimal amount of user interaction to affect bill payment.

The following prior art references have been deemed most relevant to the allowed claim(s):

Kitchen et al. (US Pat. 6289322) describes a centralized bill presentment and payment system in which bills are aggregated via a communication network at a central processing station from biller stations and presented to payor stations for review and payment. Payment instructions are received at central processing station from the payor stations and are executed by the central processing station at financial institutions. (See Figure 2 for details).

Application/Control Number: 09/514,271

Art Unit: 3693

Remington et al. (US Pat. 6070150) teaches a bill payment systems in which bills are presented via network by a biller to a consumer and payment instructions are transmitted by the consumer back to the biller. (See Figures 4 and 5).

In both Remington and Kitchen, the bill includes a means for initiating bill payment instructions. However, in both Remington and Kitchen, when the payor initiates the bill payment instructions, the bill payment instructions are returned to the bill presenter. In the case of Fig. 4 of Remington, the biller is the bill presenter and bill payment instructions are returned to the biller. In the case of Kitchen, the central bill processor (CF) is the bill presenter and bill payment instructions are returned to the central bill processor. In alternative embodiments shown in Figs. 11 and 12 of Remington, the bill is presented to the payor by an intermediary 252 and the bill payment instructions are returned to the intermediary who presented the bill. There is no teaching in either Remington or Kitchen of any bill format that contains a means for initiating bill payment instructions, which, when selected, causes the bill payment instructions to be sent to any party other than the bill presenter. Thus, neither Kitchen, nor Remington, alone or in combination, teaches or suggests the claimed invention in which the bill is presented by the biller but the remittance instructions are sent to a bill processing server and not back to the biller.

Claims 1-13 are apparatus (or system) claims and claims 14-26 are corresponding method claims. As explained above the closest prior art references of Remington and fail to teach or suggest, alone or in any combination a client computer comprising a processor, communication means, a server program and a display for receiving and viewing from one or more biller servers and not from a bill processing server bill information which includes means for initiating bill payment instructions

and the processor integral to the client computer is responsive to the input means and causes the communication means to communicate the bill payment instructions to the bill processing server when the means for initiating bill payment instruction is selected.

Claims 14-26 are directed to process corresponding to the apparatus claims 1-13. Specifically, the process steps (b) - (e) correspond to the features of the apparatus claims as described in claims 1-13 and cited above as reasons for allowance.

Application/Control Number: 09/514,271

Art Unit: 3693

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGDISH PATEL whose telephone number is (571) 272-6748. The examiner can normally be reached on 800AM-600PM M-Tu and Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammel can be reached on (571)272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 517-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jagdish N. Patel

(Primary Examiner, AU 3624)

10/25/06